Previous references.

Gpm 145-11 Sls 21-22 7 Apr 12
G.7 104-05 Sls 4.10, 11 & 12 Aug 06

1911-12

(S+A)

File No. 536-11

Serial Nos. 1-2

Later references.

Pee. 198÷12. Sls 19.20.22.24-26.

(11)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-2

Part B. for November 12

SUBJECT.

J. A. to retired Councillors

---

1. From the Comptroller. Mysore Government

No 6179 / TA D II 1138 A dy 13/17 . 4 . 12.

Solicits whether the Ry fare claimed from Bangalore to Trichinopoly and back by Raja mahthura Pravina Mr C. Srinivasiengar for attending the Dasara Durbar of 1911 & countersigned by the Govt Ser Secy may be admitted without a certificate of actual expenses and enquires whether journeys from & back to places outside Mysore are contemplated under the rules in case of Gentlemen residing in Mysore territory.

G. R. S. 58

2 Do The Comptroller. No 7L 2714 dy 2.11.12

Informs that (1) a certificate stating that the amount claimed is not more than actual expenses should be obtained (2) the Countersignature of the Genl Secy should be taken to mean that the journey was under proper authority. (3) that in doubtful cases the Govt may be referred to, passing the bill of Mr C. Srinivasiengar

G.R.S. 11] 1901–12

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(S & A)

NOTES.

S & A File No. 536 of 1911

FILE HEADING.

T. A. to Retired Councillors

From

The Comptroller, Mysore Govt

No. 6179 T.A.D. II 1138A Dated 13th/17th April 1912 Received 17th

Diary No. 3884	Enclos. 1	Spare Copies	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Solicits whether the R/T fare claimed from Bangalore to Trichinopoly and back by Rajamantra Pravina Mr. C Srinivasengar for attending the Dasara Durbar of 1911 & countersigned by the Govt Resident may be admitted without a certificate of actual expenses and enquires whether journeys from & back to places outside Mysore as are contemplated under the rules in case of gentlemen residing in Mysore Territory.

Reg. 17-11

NOTES AND ORDERS.

The bill in question is on account of journeys performed from Trichinopoly to Mysore & back by Rajamantra Pravina Mr. C Srinivasengar, Retired I Councillor for attending the Dasara Durbar of 1911 as an invited guest. It has been countersigned

NOTES—First sheet.

GPB—15,000—24-4-09

Serial No.

for the full amount by the Genl. & Rev Secy

2 Mr. Srinivasiengar in a footnote to the bill states "from Trichinopoly (where I am temporarily staying)" and the gentleman is a Retired Officer of Govt. residing within the Mysore Territory.

3 The points for consideration and orders in this case ~~is whether~~ are:—

whether (i) in the case of invited guests who are retired officers of Govt ~~etc etc~~ and who are under ordinary circumstances treated as gentlemen residing within Mysore, claims for journeys (beyond the State) without may be admitted without a certificate of actual expenses on the ground that the (add) claim is less for bat or than the rates mentioned in the rules;

(ii) in such cases journeys from outside Mysore by Gentlemen desiring within Mysore are contemplated by the rules in this behalf.

~~As 32(i)~~ As regards 3(i) above, the original papers relating to 632 A(d) are put up. The wording of this clause seems to convey an idea that the actual R/f for a retired Councillor invited for the Dasara Dusshera or Birthday festivities

Flag I

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Serial No.

is return fare for a single I class compartment and charges for one or 2 personal servants ~~retained~~ who actually accompany him. The understanding as to the place from which such charges are calculated is ~~the place~~ that at which they permanently ~~do~~ reside. If any case in which a retired Councillor happens to be elsewhere than his permanent residence and undertakes a journey in response to such invitation, ~~for a similar case in which a guest~~, it is a matter for consideration whether 632 applies in calculating travelling charges for journey outside Mysore of such a guest and whether it is not outside the scope of this rule and therefore a special case.

As regards 3(ii), it is understood that the actual charges of travelling are paid to Mr. C. T. Dalal when he undertakes journey from places without the State in response to an invitation from Palace and this is the case of a retired Officer not residing within Mysore.

AM-77 dtd 19 The analogous case of Sir M. is also put up for reference

2/4/12

20

Flag V

Mirzas not with the case being

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Sfa File No. 536 of 1911

Serial No.

(1) a certificate that it does amount claimed in the Bill does not exceed actual expending seems to be necessary {article 632-A(d)}

(2). Regarding the claim for and to Trichinopoly, the point has been noticed and discussed (vide P. 9 of Routine Note in linked file) and sanctioned by Govt.

Hd. 11/5/12

(1) I agree.

(2) It may be embarrassing to rule generally that t.a. from & to any place at which a guest may be temporarily residing should be paid.

The Comptroller may be told that the particular claim should be passed & that in future when such bills are esp^d by the Govt Secy it may be held that Govt consider the charge unobjectionable, it being added that each case will be considered on its own merits & no general rule will be laid down.

My personal opinion however is that in the case of persons ordinarily residing in Mysore territory journeys from places outside the State should not generally be paid for unless the invitations are sent to those places.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

SPB—26000-20-1-12

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Sta File No. 536 of 1911

Serial No.

Draft Subd put up revised draft
8/5.

B2-1144 6-5-12 (9)

Revised draft subd.

B2-1144 8.5.12 (9) 18 C.V.

le 9/5/12

I agree

1300 16.5.12

Dewan 10/5/12

Please circulate to 2nd Committee for opinion.

Initials are opposed by the Dewan Bahadur under his orders, and I believe that some recommendation to make with reference to the first place Members of the Committee. In view of this it seems appropriate Rule (as suggested by the Finl Secy) that the Place of abode recognized by the Dewan Bahadur should regulate the T.A.

Sd 21 Sept 12

8.8.

To be submitted to 1st Committee for perusal of the Dewan's remarks. Thereafter 2nd Committee

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

24/9/12

21/9.

le

1911-B-23700-20-1-12

3884

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Sec. File No. 5 H of 1911

Serial No.

I accept the view of the Financial Secy.

27/12/12

3681
30-9-12

Dewan

28/9

Necessary order accordingly
(The 1st Pre-Conciliar Financial Secy
agree) 30/12

V3 ii

27
5/10

Dated 4/10/12

Subd

There is a draft already approved by the 1st Councillor before the Dewan's orders were passed. A fresh draft is also put up for appl or other orders.

A

Hon Financial Secy
8/10

4/10/12

The new draft does not answer Comptroller's queries. Please put up

P.R.V.

NOTE—Contra. sheet.

GPB—20,000—20-1-12

R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. of 191

Serial No.

a complete & careful draft according to orders on the file - M 9/10 K 9.10.12

A revised draft is Sub^d -

PRV Clerk. 14.10.12 Heard read & corrected K 17.10.12

Sub^d -

PRV Clerk. 22.10.12 Hkey)

K 27.10.12

M 30/10

Sanction K 31.10.12

abt B

2 To The Comptroller. No H 2714 Dr 2-11-12 Informs that (1) that a certificat stating that the ~~no~~ amount claimed is not more than actual expenses should be obtained (2) the countersignature of the Fe

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPE—65,000—17-C-12.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Sfa File No. 536 of 191]

Serial No.

Secy should be taken to mean that the journey was under proper authority (3) that in doubtful cases the point may be referred to, passing the bill of Mr. C. Srinivasiengar.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPE—65,000—17-C-12.

S&A Rec. 1c

It is requested that in future communications on the subject, the No. and date of this letter, and the distinguishing letters below the number as given opposite, may be quoted and addressed to the Comptroller, Mysore Government.

II/174 No. 6179 Encls
PWTA D II 1138A

OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER, MYSORE GOVERNMENT,

Dated Bangalore, the 13th April 1912

17

FROM

J. S. CHAKRAVARTI, ESQ., M.A.,
F.R.A.S., etc.,
Comptroller to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

DIARY No. 3884
To
10/1-1912
SECTION Std.
FILE No. 536
SERIAL No. 1

The *Secretary to the Government of Mysore,*
Financial *Department.*

SIR,

In forwarding herewith for reference the travelling allowance bill of Rajamanthra Pravina Mr. C. Srinivasa Iyengar for journey performed by him for attending the Dasara Durbar of 1911 received countersigned by the Secretary to Government in the General and Revenue Departments, with his letter No. G.5233/G.M.145-11-22 dated 2nd April 1912, I have the honor to state that double First Class fare has been claimed in the bill for journey from Trichnopoly to Bangalore and back. This is claimed on the ground that it is less than the fares payable for a First Class compartment and two servants as will be seen from what is stated on the reverse of the bill. As, under Article 632, A (d) of the Mysore Service Regulations, non-official gentlemen and retired officers are allowed only actual travelling charges upto the rates therein mentioned, I request you will be so good as to obtain the orders of Government as to whether I may admit the claims preferred by these gentlemen to the extent allowed in the Regulations without a certificate of actual expenses to say that the amount claimed is not more than what was actually paid for themselves their personal servants and luggage.

Rec.—Gl. 17]

.....Department.

19/2.

FROM

J. S. CHAKRAVARTI, ESQ., M.A.,
F.R.A.S., etc.,
Comptroller to the Govt. of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

To

.....ESQ.,

Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,

Genl Dept.....*Dept.*

Dated

Despatched 17-4-12

Received

No. 6179 Genl
1138 A

Subject matter.

Stating that the double
1st class fare leaves
claimed in the bill for journey
from Cuddapah to Bangalore

2. I would also enquire whether the rules contemplate journeys from and back to places outside Mysore specially in the case of gentlemen ordinarily residing in Mysore territory.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

JS Chakravarti

Comptroller.

Ack.

S.A.M.

G.R.S. 26]

File No.

Serial No. 2

Diary No. of letter replied to

No. 2714

2-11-12

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the 19 .

To

The Comptr.

Issue 2-11-12

Signed by W L Nanavutty

Sir,

I am directed to acknowledge receipt of your Accounts Officer's letter No. 6179 D. 17.4.12 D.A.D. II 1138A requesting orders of Govt as to (1) whether the claims preferred by non-Official and retired gentlemen to the extent allowed in the M.S.R. may be allowed without a certificate of actual expenses ~~to say~~ stating that the

over.

SUBJECT.

amount claimed is not more than what was actually paid for themselves, their personal Servants and luggage; and (2) whether the rules Contemplate journeys from 1 to places outside the Mysore State in the Case of Gentlemen ordinarily residing within the State. ~~and forwarding for orders~~, The T.A. bill (Countersigned by the Secretary to Govt & Rev. Dept) of Rajamantra Pravina Mr. C. Srinivasa Iyengar, for journey performed by him for attending the Dasara Durbar of 1911 in which double first Class fare has been claimed from Trichonopoly to Bangalore

List of enclosures,

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—10,000—28-2-11.

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

and back on the ground that it is less than the fares payable for a 1st Class Compartment and two Seconds

has also been forwarded for orders

2 I am directed to state that a Certificate that the amount claimed is not more than the actual expenses should always be obtained before sanction is passed in regard to the grant of T.A from places outside the State in the Case of Guests habitually resident in the State, the Countersignature of the Govt Secretary to Govt should be held to mean that T.A, is admissible for the

the invitation was specially issued to the place outside the State under proper authority and that

journey from the place beyond the State. In doubtful cases you may clear up the point by a reference to Govt.

In the regard to the Claim of Raja Matra Pravina Mr C. Srinivasa Iyengar's bill, I am to request that the bill may be audited & passed.

I have &c,

Your

For Fin. Secy